

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/005,006	01/09/1998	SHUICHI KANNO	ASA-695	1954
75	90 01/03/2005	•	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M	
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HV
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/005,006	KANNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>40-51 and 79-86.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>75-78</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		n as we	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/005,006

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed changes to the claims, e.g. "80% to 100%", "95% to 100%", raise the issue of new matter and raise new issues that would require further consideration. From the Figures, there may be support for the proposed ranges, however, such support would limit for just some species, not for all the generic compounds as required in the instant claims.